

Hello Michael,
Please share this with the Board and SRPC...
CAMP.

I have 7 questions/topics within the body that could be discussed, however if we get into reworking the body then we will spend a year on this item...so I will leave those be for now.

Regarding the Goals and Recommendations, some of these are observations on my part regarding where they will lead us down the road, and others are items that I believe require some rework.

1. CAC-54 Transportation Alternatives #2(observation). Public Transit for year-round residents is expensive, perhaps this is an area where we can team up with Newmarket to generate the needed ridership. When you get down to it Public Transit has a chicken-and-egg problem. You need enough population to generate the ridership, but until the rides are available, convenient, and reliable, you cannot generate ridership.
2. CAC-55(observation) The entire Planning set of recommendations is effectively encouraging centrally co-located residential and commercial(/industrial?). Like public transit, you need a critical mass of people and services within walking distance to be successful. An interesting combination would be a satellite parking area(near ice rink) with a shuttle to ferry people between it and the downtown. This could allow us reduce the public parking lots or convert them to Residential with Parking. People still need a car to leave town after all.
3. CAC-56 Regulation/Policy #2(rework). What is meant by "resources"? Benefits like tax rebates or links to websites? I feel like the term is rather vague and loaded with multiple innuendo in this context,
4. Throughout there are recommendations that are similar to ones in other chapters like Natural Resources or Housing, or would fit better in those other chapters. What should be done with these? Good methodology would place them all together in one place, but opening these other chapters to public hearing also opens the entire content of those chapters to review. I do not like either option, but in the interest of not letting the perfect be the enemy of the good, I would choose to ignore good methodology and just deal with a single chapter.

Paul Rasmussen