

PLANNING DEPARTMENT

Town of Durham

8 Newmarket Road Durham, NH 03824-2898 Phone (603) 868-8064 www.ci.durham.nh.us

<u>Planning Consultant's Review</u> Planning Board Meeting – Wednesday, March 9, 2022

- VII. *Public Hearing* Mill Plaza Redevelopment. 7 Mill Road. Continued review of application for site plan and conditional use for mixed use redevelopment project, drive-through facility for bank, and activity within the wetland and shoreland overlay districts. Colonial Durham Associates, property owner. Sean McCauley, agent. Joe Persechino, Tighe & Bond, engineer. Emily Innes and Sharon Ames, Harriman, project designer. Ari Pollack, attorney. (Rick Taintor is serving as the Town's Contract Planner.) Central Business District. Map 5, Lot 1-1.
- I recommend that the Board begin deliberations on the requested conditional use permits, and then continue the matter to the March 23 or April 13 meeting.

Please note the following:

- 1) Recap of previous meeting: On February 9, 2022, the Planning Board opened the continued public hearing on the revised Site Plan for the Mill Plaza redevelopment project. Residents commented on a variety of topics including impacts on the adjacent residential neighborhoods (noise, traffic, property values), environmental impacts (removal of forested hillside, stormwater), building scale and architecture, security, and building and site management.
 - The Board voted to close the public hearing and to begin deliberating on the conditional use permit applications at the Planning Board meeting on March 9, 2022.
- 2) I have again attached to this report my proposed template for discussing the zoning ordinance's criteria for granting the conditional use permits. The Board must discuss each of the four requested conditional use permits individually. However, there is no particular order in which the four applications must be considered, so it is up to the members whether to take up the applications related to the proposed uses (mixed use and bank drive-through) or the environmental applications (wetland and shoreland) first.
- 3) Please note that for each requested conditional use permit the Board must deliberate on each of the standards for that permit but take only vote to approve or deny the permit. That is, the Board will not vote on each standard separately, but instead will vote as to whether or not the proposed use complies with <u>all</u> of the standards for that particular conditional use permit. As the Town's attorney has advised the Board, there is no

- "balancing" of standards involved: the fact that a proposal may strongly meet most of the standards would not override the failure to meet just one of them.
- 4) Section 175-23.D of the zoning ordinance provides that the Board shall attach conditions to its approval of a conditional use permit where it determines that such conditions are "necessary to further the objectives of this ordinance and the Master Plan, or ... would otherwise allow the general conditions of this article to be satisfied." The kinds of conditions which the Board is authorized to attach to an approval include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - 1. Front, side, and rear setbacks in excess of the minimum requirements of the Ordinance.
 - 2. Screening of the premises from the street or adjacent property in excess of any minimum requirements of the Ordinance.
 - 3. Landscaping in excess of any minimum requirements of the Ordinance.
 - 4. Modification of the exterior features of buildings or other structures.
 - 5. Limitations on the size of buildings and other structures more stringent than the minimum or maximum requirements of the Ordinance.
 - 6. Footprint or lot coverage less than the allowed maximum of the Ordinance.
 - 7. Limitations on the number of occupants and methods and times of operation.
 - 8. Grading of the premises for proper drainage.
 - 9. Regulation of design of access drives, sidewalks, crosswalks, and other traffic features.
 - 10. Off-street parking and loading spaces in excess of, or less than, the minimum requirements of the Ordinance.
 - 11. Other performance standards as appropriate.

Any conditions of approval must be stated in the issuance of a conditional use permit. Therefore, it would be important at the time of voting to approve a requested permit to state any conditions that Board members find necessary to support the decision.

5) If the Board completes its deliberations and votes to approve all four applications for conditional use permits at this meeting, the next step would be to move on to consideration of the application for site plan approval. I anticipate that this would entail a significant amount of time, and so I would recommend that this be continued to the March 23 meeting if the agenda for that meeting permits.

Respectfully submitted,

Rick Taintor, AICP Community Planning Consultant March 3, 2022

A. WETLANDS CONSERVATION OVERLAY DISTRICT (WCOD)

The proposed Mill Plaza Redevelopment project involves uses and activities within a Wetland Conservation Overlay District (WCOD), specifically the 75-foot upland buffer strip adjacent to a wetland associated with College Brook. These uses and activities include the following:

- Modifications to the site entrance from Mill Road;
- Modifications to the primary internal access way along the southwesterly edge of the property, including areas of excavation and fill to recontour the access way and accommodate stormwater flow;
- Construction of a curbed, raised pedestrian walkway between Mill Road and the rear of the site, and a multiuse path continuing to Chesley Drive;
- Installation of underground conduit for electrical services;
- Construction of stormwater facilities including catch basins, manholes, pipes, an underground detention facility, a gravel wetland, and a new outfall into College Brook;
- Construction of a retaining wall between the parking area and the gravel wetland.

The Planning Board finds that each of the above uses and activities require conditional use approval under Section 175-61 of the Zoning Ordinance that was in effect on September 26, 2014.

WCOD SPECIFIC CRITERIA

For each of the above uses of land within the WCOD, the Planning Board finds that all of the following standards [have / have not] been met:

1. There [is no / is an] alternative location on the parcel that is outside of the WCOD that is

Comment	s/Rationale:
The amount	of soil disturbance [will / will not] be the minimum necessary for the
	and operation of the facilities as determined by the Planning Board;
construction	a and operation of the facilities as determined by the Planning Board; s/Rationale:
construction	1

3.	mi	e location, design, construction, and maintenance of the facilities [will / will not] nimize any detrimental impact on the wetland and mitigation activities [will / will not] be dertaken to counterbalance any adverse impacts; and
	(Comments/Rationale:
4.		storation activities [will / will not] leave the site, as nearly as possible, in its existing addition and grade at the time of application for the Conditional Use Permit.
		Comments/Rationale:
W	C O]	D PERFORMANCE STANDARDS
enl wit	arge th th ovid	anning Board finds that all buildings and structures [will / will not] be erected, altered, ed or moved and all land within the WCO District [will / will not] be used in accordance he performance standards set forth in Section 175-65 of the Zoning Ordinance, including ing a naturally vegetated buffer strip (175-65(A)) and using best management practices for entation and erosion control (175-65(B)).
С	omr	nents/Rationale:
GF	ENE	CRAL STANDARDS FOR CONDITIONAL USES
of i	land	anning Board finds that the application to allow each of the proposed structures and uses I within the WCOD [conforms / does not conform] to all of the conditional use permit a listed in section 175-23(C) of the Zoning ordinance, as follows:
1.		e suitability: The site [is / is not] suitable for the proposed uses of land within the WCOD. is includes:
	a.	[Adequate / inadequate] vehicular and pedestrian access for the intended uses.
		Comments/Rationale:
	b.	The [availability / lack] of adequate public services to serve the intended uses including emergency services, pedestrian facilities, schools, and other municipal services.

Planning Board Findings for Conditional Use Permits

		Comments/Rationale:
	c.	The [absence / presence] of environmental constraints (floodplain, steep slope, etc.) or development of a plan to substantially mitigate the impacts of those constraints.
		Comments/Rationale:
	d.	The [availability / lack] of appropriate utilities to serve the intended uses including water, sewage disposal, stormwater disposal, electricity, and similar utilities.
		Comments/Rationale:
2.	pro noi [wi	ternal impacts: The external impacts on abutting properties and the neighborhood of the posed structures and uses of land within the WCOD – including but not limited to traffic, se, odors, vibrations, dust, fumes, hours of operation, and exterior lighting and glare – ill be no greater / will be greater] than the impacts of adjacent existing uses or other uses mitted in the zone.
		Comments/Rationale:
	sca [wi	addition, the location, nature, design, and height of the structures and appurtenances, their le with reference to their surroundings, and the nature and intensity of the proposed uses, all not / will] have an adverse effect on the surrounding environment nor discourage the propriate and orderly development and use of land and buildings in the neighborhood.
		Comments/Rationale:

3. <u>Character of the site development</u>: The layout and design of the proposed structures and uses of land within the WCOD [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood and [will / will not] mitigate any external impacts of the use on the neighborhood.

	vehicular and pedestrian access to and within the site.
	Comments/Rationale:
•	<u>Character of the structures</u> : The design of new structures within the WCOD [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the scale, height, and massing of the structures; and the materials and colors proposed to be used.
	Comments/Rationale:
•	Preservation of natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources: The proposed uses of land within the WCOD [will / will not] preserve identified natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources on the site and [will not / will] degrade such identified resources on abutting properties. These resources include, but are not limited to, identified wetlands, floodplains, significant wildlife habitat, stone walls, mature tree lines, cemeteries, graveyards, designated historic buildings or sites, scenic views, and viewsheds.
	Comments/Rationale:
	Impact on property values: The proposed uses of land within the WCOD [will not / will] cause or contribute to a significant_decline in property values of adjacent properties.
	Comments/Rationale:
	Availability of public services and facilities: Adequate and lawful facilities or arrangements for sewage disposal, solid waste disposal, water supply, utilities, drainage, and other necessary public and private services, [are / are not] approved or assured, to the end that the use [will / will not] be capable of proper operation. In addition, these services [will not / will] cause excessive demand on municipal services, including, but not limited to, water, sewer, waste disposal, police protection, fire protection, and schools.

This includes, but is not limited to, the amount, location, and screening of off-street parking; the

treatment of yards and setbacks; the buffering of adjacent properties; and provisions for

Comments/Rationale:
8. <u>Fiscal impacts</u> : The proposed uses of land within the WCOD [will not / will] have a negative fiscal impact on the Town.
Comments/Rationale:
CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
<u>The Planning Board finds</u> that the following conditions are necessary to further the objectives of the Zoning Ordinance and the Master Plan, or would otherwise allow the above specific and general approval criteria to be satisfied:
1.
2.
3.

[Examples of potential conditions of approval, as listed in Section 175-23(D) of the Zoning Ordinance, are presented on page 17 below.]

4.5.

B. SHORELAND PROTECTION OVERLAY DISTRICT (SPOD)

<u>The Planning Board finds</u> that the proposed project involves uses and activities within a Shoreland Protection Overlay District (SPOD), specifically the land on the site within 75 feet of College Brook (per section 175-70 of the Zoning Ordinance), including the following:

- Modifications to the site entrance from Mill Road:
- Modifications to the primary internal access way along the southwesterly edge of the property, including areas of excavation and fill to recontour the access way and accommodate stormwater flow;
- Construction of a curbed, raised pedestrian walkway between Mill Road and the rear of the site, and a multiuse path continuing to Chesley Drive;
- Installation of underground conduit for electrical services;
- Construction of stormwater facilities including catch basins, manholes, pipes, an underground detention facility, a gravel wetland, and a new outfall into College Brook;
- Construction of a retaining wall between the parking area and the gravel wetland.

The Planning Board finds that each of the above uses and activities require conditional use approval under Section 175-72 of the Zoning Ordinance that was in effect on September 26, 2014.

In addition, the Planning Board finds that the project involves uses and activities within the 25-foot shoreland setback from College Brook (per section 175-74(A)(3)), including the following:

- Modifications to the site entrance from Mill Road:
- Modifications to an existing stormwater outfall at the westerly corner of the site, adjacent to the culvert carrying College Brook under Mill Road;
- Construction of a new stormwater outlet at the easterly corner of the site;
- Construction of a small section of retaining wall;
- Bank stabilization and buffer restoration activities.

The Board finds that these uses within the shoreland setback [are / are not] set back the maximum practical distance from the reference line of College Brook and therefore [are / are not] permissible.

Comments/Rationale:

SPOD SPECIFIC CRITERIA

For each of the above uses of land within the SPOD, the Planning Board finds that all of the following standards [have / have not] been met:

1.	There [is no / is an] alternative location on the parcel that is outside of the SPOD that is reasonably practical for the proposed use;
	Comments/Rationale:
2.	The amount of soil disturbance [will / will not] be the minimum necessary for the construction and operation of the facilities as determined by the Planning Board;
	Comments/Rationale:
3.	The location, design, construction, and maintenance of the facilities [will / will not] minimize any detrimental impact on the adjacent shoreland and waterbody as well as downstream waterbodies, and mitigation activities [will / will not] be undertaken to counterbalance any adverse impacts; and
	Comments/Rationale:
4.	Restoration activities [will / will not] leave the site, as nearly as possible, in its pre-existing condition and grade at the time of application for the Conditional Use Permit.
	Comments/Rationale:

SPOD PERFORMANCE STANDARDS

The Planning Board finds that all buildings and structures [will / will not] be erected, altered, enlarged or moved and all land within the SPO District [will / will not] be used in accordance with the performance standards set forth in Section 175-75.1 of the Zoning Ordinance, including providing a natural woodland or naturally vegetated buffer strip (175-75.1(A)) and using best management practices for sedimentation and erosion control (175-75.1(D)).

Comments/Rationale:
GENERAL STANDARDS FOR CONDITIONAL USES
The Planning Board finds that the application to allow each of the proposed structures and uses of land within the SPOD [conforms / does not conform] to all of the approval criteria listed in section 175-23(C) of the Zoning Ordinance (except for specific criteria that are deemed by the Planning Board to be not pertinent to the application), as follows:
1. <u>Site suitability</u> : The site [is / is not] suitable for the proposed uses of land within the SPOD. This includes:
a. [Adequate / inadequate] vehicular and pedestrian access for the intended uses.
b. The [availability / lack] of adequate public services to serve the intended uses including emergency services, pedestrian facilities, schools, and other municipal services.
c. The [absence / presence] of environmental constraints (floodplain, steep slope, etc.) or development of a plan to substantially mitigate the impacts of those constraints.
d. The [availability / lack] of appropriate utilities to serve the intended uses including water, sewage disposal, stormwater disposal, electricity, and similar utilities.
Comments/Rationale:
2. External impacts: The external impacts on abutting properties and the neighborhood of the proposed structures and uses of land within the SPOD – including but not limited to traffic, noise, odors, vibrations, dust, fumes, hours of operation, and exterior lighting and glare – [will be no greater / will be greater] than the impacts of adjacent existing uses or other uses permitted in the zone.
Comments/Rationale:

	appropriate and orderly development and use of land and buildings in the neighborhood.
	Comments/Rationale:
3.	Character of the site development: The layout and design of the proposed structures and uses of land within the SPOD [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood and [will / will not] mitigate any external impacts on the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the amount, location, and screening of off-street parking; the treatment of yards and setbacks; the buffering of adjacent properties; and provisions for vehicular and pedestrian access to and within the site.
	Comments/Rationale:
4.	<u>Character of the structures</u> : The design of new structures within the SPOD [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the scale, height, and massing of the structures; and the materials and colors proposed to be used.
	Comments/Rationale:
5.	Preservation of natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources: The proposed uses of land within the SPOD [will / will not] preserve identified natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources on the site and [will not / will] degrade such identified resources on abutting properties. Such resources include, but are not limited to, identified wetlands, floodplains, significant wildlife habitat, stone walls, mature tree lines, cemeteries, graveyards, designated historic buildings or sites, scenic views, and viewsheds.
	Comments/Rationale:
6.	Impact on property values: The proposed uses of land within the SPOD [will not / will] cause or

In addition, the location, nature, design, and height of the structures and appurtenances, their scale with reference to their surroundings, and the nature and intensity of the proposed uses, **[will not / will]** have an adverse effect on the surrounding environment nor discourage the

contribute to a significant_decline in property values of adjacent properties.

	Comments/Rationale:
7.	Availability of public services and facilities: Adequate and lawful facilities or arrangements for sewage disposal, solid waste disposal, water supply, utilities, drainage, and other necessary public and private services, [are / are not] approved or assured, to the end that the use [will / will not] be capable of proper operation. In addition, these services [will not / will] cause excessive demand on municipal services, including, but not limited to, water, sewer, waste disposal, police protection, fire protection, and schools.
	Comments/Rationale:
8.	<u>Fiscal impacts</u> : The proposed uses of land within the SPOD [will not / will] have a negative fiscal impact on the Town.
	Comments/Rationale:
C(ONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
he	e Planning Board finds that the following conditions are necessary to further the objectives of Zoning Ordinance and the Master Plan, or would otherwise allow the above specific and neral approval criteria to be satisfied:
1.	
2.	
3. 1	
4. 5.	
	[Examples of potential conditions of approval, as listed in Section 175-23(D) of the Zoning Ordinance, are presented on page 17 below.]

C. MIXED USE WITH RESIDENTIAL AND PARKING

The proposed Mill Plaza Redevelopment project includes the construction of a mixed-use building with retail and office uses on the first two floors and multiunit residences on the third and fourth floors, and a second mixed-use building with retail, office and parking uses on the first floor and multiunit residents on the second and third floor.

APPLICABLE ZONING PROVISIONS

The Zoning Ordinance that was in effect on September 26, 2014, allowed three types of "Mixed Use" development in the Central Business (CB) district by conditional use permit:

Mixed Use with residential (office/retail down, multiunit residential up)

Mixed Use with parking (parking and office/retail)

Mixed Use with parking (parking and office)

The Planning Board finds that the proposed four-story building containing two floors of retail/office and two floors of multiunit residential is "Mixed Use with residential" according to the Ordinance; and that the proposed three-story building with parking and nonresidential on the ground floor and multiunit residential on the upper floors blends the "Mixed Use with residential" use with the two "Mixed Use with parking" uses; and therefore that both buildings are Mixed Use buildings which the Board may allow by conditional use permit if it finds that the proposal conforms to all required conditional use permit criteria.

GENERAL STANDARDS FOR CONDITIONAL USES

The Planning Board finds that the application to allow two Mixed Use buildings as part of the Mill Plaza Redevelopment project [conforms / does not conform] to all of the conditional use permit approval criteria listed in section 175-23(C) of the Zoning Ordinance (except for specific criteria that are deemed by the Planning Board to be not pertinent to the application), as follows:

- 1. Site suitability: The site [is / is not] suitable for the proposed use. This includes:
 - a. [Adequate / inadequate] vehicular and pedestrian access for the intended use.
 - b. The [availability / lack] of adequate public services to serve the intended use including emergency services, pedestrian facilities, schools, and other municipal services.
 - c. The [absence / presence] of environmental constraints (floodplain, steep slope, etc.) or development of a plan to substantially mitigate the impacts of those constraints.
 - d. The [availability / lack] of appropriate utilities to serve the intended use including water, sewage disposal, stormwater disposal, electricity, and similar utilities.

Comments/Rationale:

2.	External impacts: The external impacts of the proposed use on abutting properties and the neighborhood [will be no greater / will be greater] than the impacts of adjacent existing uses or other uses permitted in the zone. These impacts include but are not limited to traffic, noise, odors, vibrations, dust, fumes, hours of operation, and exterior lighting and glare.
	Comments/Rationale:
	In addition, the location, nature, design, and height of the structure and its appurtenances, its scale with reference to its surroundings, and the nature and intensity of the use, [will not / will] have an adverse effect on the surrounding environment and [will not / will] discourage the appropriate and orderly development and use of land and buildings in the neighborhood.
	Comments/Rationale:
3.	Character of the site development: The proposed layout and design of the site [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood and [will / will not] mitigate any external impacts of the use on the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the relationship of the buildings to the street; the amount, location, and screening of off-street parking; the treatment of yards and setbacks; the buffering of adjacent properties; and provisions for vehicular and pedestrian access to and within the site.
	Comments/Rationale:
4.	Character of the buildings and structures: The design of the new buildings and structures and the modification of the existing building on the site [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the scale, height, and massing of the buildings and structures: the roof lines; the architectural treatments of the front elevations; the locations of the principal entrances, and the materials and colors proposed to be used.
	Comments/Rationale:
5.	Preservation of natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources: The proposed use of the site,

including all related development activities, [will / will not] preserve identified natural, cultural,

	on abutting properties. These resources include, but are not limited to, identified wetlands, floodplains, significant wildlife habitat, stonewalls, mature tree lines, cemeteries, graveyards, designated historic buildings or sites, scenic views, and viewsheds.
	Comments/Rationale:
6.	<u>Impact on property values</u> : The proposed use [will not / will] cause or contribute to a significant decline in property values of adjacent properties.
	Comments/Rationale:
7.	Availability of public services and facilities: Adequate and lawful facilities or arrangements for sewage disposal, solid waste disposal, water supply, utilities, drainage, and other necessary public and private services, [are / are not] approved or assured, to the end that the use [will / will not] be capable of proper operation. In addition, these services [will not / will] cause excessive demand on municipal services, including, but not limited to, water, sewer, waste disposal, police protection, fire protection, and schools.
	Comments/Rationale:
8.	<u>Fiscal impacts</u> : The proposed use [will not / will] have a negative fiscal impact on the Town.
	Comments/Rationale:
CC	ONDITIONS OF APPROVAL
the	e <u>Planning Board finds</u> that the following conditions are necessary to further the objectives of Zoning Ordinance and the Master Plan, or will otherwise allow the required approval criteria be satisfied:
1.	
2.	
3.	

historic, and scenic resources on the site and [will not / will] degrade such identified resources

D. DRIVE-THROUGH FACILITY ACCESSORY TO A FINANCIAL INSTITUTION

The proposed Mill Plaza Redevelopment project includes a drive-through facility to serve a bank use, comprising both a drive-up window and an automated teller machine (ATM).

APPLICABLE ZONING PROVISION

The Zoning Ordinance that was in effect on September 26, 2014, allowed "Drive through facility accessory to a financial institution" in the Central Business (CB) district by conditional use permit. The Ordinance defined "drive through facility" as "A service facility [...] that is intended to enable the customer to transact business with a person located within a structure or a machine without exiting the motor vehicle."

The Planning Board finds that the proposed drive through facility, including both a window and an ATM, may be allowed by conditional use permit if the Board finds that the proposal conforms to all required conditional use permit criteria.

GENERAL STANDARDS FOR CONDITIONAL USES

The Planning Board finds that the application to allow an accessory drive-through facility within the Mill Plaza Redevelopment [conforms / does not conform] to all of the conditional use permit criteria listed in section 175-23(C) of the Zoning Ordinance (except for specific criteria that are deemed by the Planning Board to be not pertinent to the application), as follows::

- 1. <u>Site suitability</u>: The site [is / is not] suitable for the proposed use. This includes:
 - a. [Adequate / inadequate] vehicular and pedestrian access for the intended use.
 - b. The [availability / lack] of adequate public services to serve the intended use including emergency services, pedestrian facilities, schools, and other municipal services.
 - c. The [absence / presence] of environmental constraints (floodplain, steep slope, etc.) or development of a plan to substantially mitigate the impacts of those constraints.
 - d. The [availability / lack] of appropriate utilities to serve the intended use including water, sewage disposal, stormwater disposal, electricity, and similar utilities.

Comments/Rationale:			

- 2. External impacts: The external impacts of the proposed use on abutting properties and the neighborhood [will be no greater / will be greater] than the impacts of adjacent existing uses or other uses permitted in the zone. These impacts include but are not limited to traffic, noise, odors, vibrations, dust, fumes, hours of operation, and exterior lighting and glare.
 - In addition, the location, nature, design, and height of the structure and its appurtenances, its scale with reference to its surroundings, and the nature and intensity of the use, [will not / will]

	have an adverse effect on the surrounding environment and [will not / will] discourage the appropriate and orderly development and use of land and buildings in the neighborhood.
	Comments/Rationale:
3.	<u>Character of the site development</u> : The proposed layout and design of the site [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood and [will / will not] mitigate any external impacts of the use on the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the relationship of the buildings to the street; the amount, location, and screening of off-street parking; the treatment of yards and setbacks; the buffering of adjacent properties; and provisions for vehicular and pedestrian access to and within the site.
	Comments/Rationale:
4.	Character of the buildings and structures: The design of the new buildings and structures and the modification of the existing building on the site [will / will not] be compatible with the established character of the neighborhood. This includes, but is not limited to, the scale, height, and massing of the buildings and structures: the roof lines; the architectural treatments of the front elevations; the locations of the principal entrances, and the materials and colors proposed to be used.
	Comments/Rationale:
5.	<u>Preservation of natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources</u> : The proposed use of the site, including all related development activities, [will / will not] preserve identified natural, cultural, historic, and scenic resources on the site and [will not / will] degrade such identified resources on abutting properties. These resources include, but are not limited to, identified wetlands, floodplains, significant wildlife habitat, stonewalls, mature tree lines, cemeteries, graveyards, designated historic buildings or sites, scenic views, and viewsheds.
	Comments/Rationale:

6.	<u>Impact on property values</u> : The proposed use [will not / will] cause or contribute to a significant decline in property values of adjacent properties.				
	Comments/Rationale:				
7.	Availability of public services and facilities: Adequate and lawful facilities or arrangements for sewage disposal, solid waste disposal, water supply, utilities, drainage, and other necessary public and private services, [are / are not] approved or assured, to the end that the use [will / will not] be capable of proper operation. In addition, these services [will not / will] cause excessive demand on municipal services, including, but not limited to, water, sewer, waste disposal, police protection, fire protection, and schools.				
	Comments/Rationale:				
8.	<u>Fiscal impacts</u> : The proposed use [will not / will] have a negative fiscal impact on the Town.				
	Comments/Rationale:				
CC	ONDITIONS OF APPROVAL				
the	e Planning Board finds that the following conditions are necessary to further the objectives of Zoning Ordinance and the Master Plan, or would otherwise allow the above criteria to be isfied:				
1.					
2.					
3.					
 4. 5. 					
	[Examples of potential conditions of approval, as listed in Section 175-23(D) of the Zoning Ordinance, are presented on page 17 below.]				