DURHAM CONSERVATION COMMISSION Monday, August 25, 2025 DURHAM TOWN HALL, COUNCIL CHAMBERS

7:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRSENT: Dwight Trueblood (Chair); Darrell Ford (Town Council

Representative); Jacob Cragg; Neil Slepian (Vice Chair); Rob Sullivan (Planning Board Representative); Anne Lightbody,

Alternates: Ben Phelps and Steve Moyer

MEMBERS ABSENT: Nick Lanzer; Alternate: John Nachilly; Michael Behrendt

(Durham Town Planner)

ALSO PRESENT: Veronique Ludington (Land Stewardship Coordinator)

- 1 I. Call to Order
- 2 Chair Dwight Trueblood called the meeting to order at 7:03 p.m.
- 3 II. Land Acknowledgement Statement
- 4 The Chair read the Land Acknowledgement Statement.
- 5 III. Roll Call and Seating of Alternates
- 6 Roll call attendance was taken and Chair Trueblood seated on alternate this evening:
- 7 Steve Moyer.
- 8 IV. Approval of Agenda
- 9 The Chair MOVED to accept the agenda as presented; SECONDED by Mr. Ford;
- 10 Approved unanimously by a show of hands, 7-0, Motion carries.
- 11 V. Public Comments: None.

12 VI. Land Stewardship Update

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29 30

31

32

33 34

35

36

37

Veronique Ludington gave an overview of stewardship activities for the last month which included:

- It was a good month for Town outreach because there was good traffic by the Conservation Commission booth at Durham Farm Day. Approximately 50-60 people stopped at the booth. There seemed to be a keen interest in invasive species.
- Bio Blitz planning continues apace. There will be a public presentation of the Bio Blitz program in December on why this is an important program.
- The Stoleworthy property survey contract is now in place.
- Easement monitoring training is being explored to increase the volunteer pool to help with this activity.

VII. Riverwoods Conditional Use for Phase II

The Riverwoods contractor, Altus Engineering, gave an overview of its proposed phase 2 expansion plans for the continuing care retirement community. The contractor described where the new building and parking lots would be located as well as how Stone Quarry Drive would be modified to accommodate the new facilities. It was noted that the facilities are all located outside of the core wetland and vernal pool areas on the property and that all stormwater would be collected and routed away from the wetlands. The landscape designer then described the proposed plantings, primarily using all native vegetation along the borders of the wetland areas, with a few non-naïve plantings along the front of some of the buildings (roses and hydrangeas). The applicant noted that two endangered species had been seen in the general area, but not on the property being developed: in 1966 an endangered species of turtle was seen in the Mill Pond area, and more recently, a dead New England cotton tail rabbit was found on Rt. 108. Neither citing was considered to be significant for the proposed work.

- Commissioners asked a few questions about where the stormwater would be captured
- 39 and how it would drain away from the wetlands. The civil engineer explained that the
- stormwater would be routed across the road into an existing stream bed that drains the
- 41 area.
- The Commission then went through the four conditional use criteria, voting on whether
- 43 the applicant had addressed each criterion adequately.

44

project that would: 45 46 Have less adverse impact on the WCOD and overall ecological values; 47 Be workable; and Be reasonable to expect the applicant to utilize. 48 The Commissioners voted unanimously, 7-0, that the applicant addressed 49 50 this criterion adequately. 2. The design, construction, maintenance and operation of the proposed 51 structures and activities within the wetland and buffer will minimize soil 52 disturbance and adverse impacts to water quality to the extent workable. 53 The Commissioners voted unanimously, 7-0, that the applicant addressed 54 this criterion adequately. 55 56 3. Mitigation and restoration activities of the area being disturbed will allow for the site to perform the functions of the wetland and buffer to the extent 57 workable. Planting of native or naturalized vegetation shall be included as 58 appropriate. 59 The Commissioners voted unanimously, 7-0, that the applicant addressed 60 this criterion adequately. 61 4. The proposed project will not have substantial adverse impacts to known rare 62 63 species, rare habitats, water quality, aquatic connectivity, or wildlife corridors. Applicants are not required to provide supporting documentation for this 64 criterion unless the Planning Board has good reason to believe this criterion 65 applies. 66 The Commissioners voted unanimously, 7-0, that the applicant addressed 67 this criterion adequately. 68 The Chair indicated that he would notify the Planning Board on Tuesday, August 26, 69 70 2025 of the Commission's findings. VIII. Appointment of Representative to the Land Stewardship Committee. 71

1. There is no alternative design and location on the parcel for the proposed

- 71 Vin. Appointment of Representative to the Land Stewardship Committee.
- 72 Chair Trueblood told the Commissioner's that Ann Welsh, Chair of the Land Stewardship
- 73 Committee, was stepping down and that Mr. Slepian had agreed to step into that roll.
- However, to do so he needed to be a voting member of the Land Stewardship

- Committee, and that currently Mr. Nachilly was the voting member. Mr. Nachilly has
- agreed to stop being a voting member on the subcommittee, so Chair Trueblood
- 77 MOVED that Mr. Slepian be elected as a voting member of the Land Stewardship
- 78 Committee, this was SECONDED, and the Commissioner's voted 7-0 to approve the Mr.
- 79 Slepian as a voting member of the Land Stewardship Committee.

80 IX. Priorities for the Conservation Commission for the coming year.

- 81 Vice-Chair Slepian said, with the exception of grant-funded projects, priorities requiring
- funds should drop to the bottom of the list, given that they won't be budgeted for this
- year. There was back-and-forth discussion between the Chair and Vice-Chair, with Chair
- Trueblood expressing a somewhat different viewpoint. He noted a number of projects
- might require feasibility studies, which could become priorities in themselves.
- Ms. Ludington advocated for trail connectivity as a priority, given other developments in
- Durham and surrounding towns. Parks & Rec had a study done about trail connectivity
- (she hasn't seen results yet) and she's been invited to serve on a subcommittee about it.
- 89 She learned today that Ellen Snyder [Newmarket Conservation] is applying for a Moose
- 90 Plate grant for the Rosa [Follett's Brook] project which is acquiring a conservation
- easement on 16 acres in Newmarket and 24 acres in Durham. Ms. Snyder is hoping the
- 92 two towns can work closely together in the coming year.
- 93 Mr. Sullivan agreed that trail connectivity would be a great priority, given that Durham
- has made a lot of progress in the last 10 years.
- 95 Chair Trueblood invited discussion about other priorities. Mr. Cragg said building public
- awareness about conservation issues will take place through articles in *Friday Updates*.
- 97 Ms. Lightbody noted education and public awareness would be her choice for their
- 98 number one priority.
- 99 Mr. Ford said exploring alternate funding sources for land conservation and
- maintenance would be a priority for him.
- 101 Ms. Ludington said the Forest Society has been proactive in putting signs at trailheads
- asking people to donate to help maintain trails; she thinks they should do this in
- Durham. It encourages a sense of ownership and stewardship among those who use
- trails regularly. For specific projects e.g., the platform at Spruce Hole Bog she's
- reached out to companies like Timberland for support. She'll also reach out to NH Trail
- 106 Bureau if the platform is ADA accessible.

- Mr. Ford said residents might object to contributing since they already pay taxes, but
- the Commission needs to be cognizant of people outside Durham who use the trails.
- 109 Wagon Hill, which draws visitors from a wider area, is a prime example.
- 110 The Chair then recapped priorities, as discussed and agreed upon:
- 1. Educational Outreach, specifically through *Friday Update* articles to start.
- 112 2. Trail Connectivity
- 3. Alternative Funding Sources
- 114 Vice-Chair Slepian said a Conservation Commission mission statement should be
- undertaken this year as well. He believes it would help to focus all their efforts. There
- was brief discussion about creating a mission statement.
- 117 X. Planning for preparation of conservation-related articles for Friday Updates.
- 118 Continued discussion.
- Mr. Cragg said last month there was agreement to use the six pillars in the Master Plan
- as a guide for topics. The pillars are: Drinking Water Supplies: Surface and Estuarine
- 121 Resources; Wetland Protection; Forest Land and Open Space; Wildlife and Wildlife
- 122 Habitat; and Climate Change and Sea Level Rise
- He shared an outline of proposed article topics by month, from October 2025 through
- September 2026, and asked members for feedback. There was consensus the list was
- good, with brief discussion about seasonal topics. For the first introductory article, Ms.
- Ludington suggested using a photo of the Commission with the banner.
- Mr. Cragg recommended using Weeks 1 and 2 articles to define the concept; Week 3 to
- talk about best management practices and Week 4 to highlight a specific trail or species.
- 129 It was agreed articles should be short, with some of them linking to longer articles on
- the website. A repository of articles will be available online.
- 131 Commissioners thanked Mr. Cragg for all his work organizing the project.
- 132 XI. 2026 Conservation Commission Budget.
- 133 Chair Trueblood said he believes the budget is based on the calendar year. There's a
- budget process between the Commission, Town Manager and Town Council -- with the
- 135 Council making the final decision.

Last year, \$33,200 was budgeted for the Land Stewardship Coordinator's salary; there 136 are no benefits for the position, which is 20 hours per week. Chair Trueblood said he 137 and the Vice-Chair discussed at length budgeting more for the position and bringing it to 138 35 hours per week, with the potential for benefits. 139 Vice-Chair Slepian said based on the current job description, it's unrealistic to think it 140 can be accomplished in 20 hours per week. He noted Durham owns 18 conservation 141 properties totaling 5,500 acres and 20 miles of trails. He added Ms. Ludington often 142 works additional hours without compensation. 143 He then discussed specific items in the budget where savings could be realized to make 144 up some of the difference for the \$41,500 increase. The Chair said Ms. Ludington 145 recommended reducing \$27K for contracted services to \$15K. An additional \$1500 could 146 be saved in field mowing, which was previously budgeted at \$4500, but can be done for 147 \$3,000. 148 149 The Chair asked if Commissioners agree that this is an appropriate request. They've been told there's a shortfall again in tax revenue, so it might be an uphill battle. 150 Mr. Ford (Town Council Rep) expressed support for the request and asked if he could 151 get detailed information about the proposal in advance so he can advocate to the 152 153 Council on behalf of the Commission. He noted when the Land Stewardship position was originally created, a primary responsibility was grant writing. The consensus was that 154 Ms. Ludington is knowledgeable about grants and capable of writing them but lacks 155 time to do so. 156 Mr. Ford said if more outside funding was obtained, it would free up town funds to 157 cover some of her increased salary. 158 159 Vice-Chair Slepian has prepared a six-page justification for the request, which will be shared initially with the Business Manager and Town Manager. He said the town is 160 proud of its public conservation lands and needs to put additional resources behind 161 them. 162 Ms. Lightbody encouraged the Commission to think more broadly about the role of Land 163 Stewardship in collaborating with Parks & Rec. She advocated for thinking about and 164 highlighting to the Town Manager a more deliberate partnership between the two, 165 since many of their efforts are adjacent. Chair Trueblood said they are already exploring 166 167 this idea with DPW, e.g., which also takes care of invasives.

196

Mr. Moyer said it might take a year or two to get their request funded, but he supports 168 starting the discussion now. 169 170 Chair Trueblood said everything else in the budget would remain the same as last year. He and Vice-Chair Slepian will meet with the Town Manager next week to discuss the 171 budget and make the case for an increase. 172 173 Chair Trueblood MOVED that the Commission approve the proposed 2026 Conservation and Land Stewardship budget as presented; including amendments by 174 Vice-Chair Slepian; SECONDED by Mr. Cragg, APPROVED unanimously, 6-0, Motion 175 carries. 176 177 Mr. Ford asked if this refers to the Conservation Fund or the General Budget and it was clarified this is for the General Budget. The total budgeted request is \$109, 650. 178 XII. Review of Minutes: July 28, 2025. 179 Mr. Ford requested a number of corrections: 180 Add Rob Sullivan to the list of attendees. 181 Line 51, Change Spruce Hill Bog to Spruce Hole Bog. 182 183 Line 68 (and beyond) – He believes the cameras referenced are at Oyster River Forest, not Longmarsh. 184 Chair Trueblood asked for Line 268 to be changed to say "Pike Property" instead of "Doe 185 Farm." 186 Mr. Moyer MOVED to approve the minutes of July 28, 2025 as amended; SECONDED by 187 Mr. Cragg, APPROVED 5-0-1, with Ms. Lightbody abstaining because she wasn't 188 189 present. XIII. Other Business 190 191 Vice-Chair Slepian said he and the Chair will present the proposed Wetland Ordinance to the Planning Board this Wednesday and invited members to attend in support. 192 Mr. Sullivan questioned a paragraph in the proposed WSOD ordinance regarding 193 challenges to wetlands designations. While he supports the language, he noted it 194 195 doesn't require construction to stop during a challenge. He noted this was a problem for

the Planning Board on a past project. He suggested adding that approval should be

214

197 198	suspended if the basis is challenged, effectively placing responsibility on the applicant. He advocated revoking permits until re-approval, though he was unsure of the legalities.
199 200 201	Chair Trueblood said the Commission can't make a change to the draft ordinance now, but Mr. Sullivan should raise it during Planning Board review. He's not sure of the legality of what he's proposing but thinks it's a valid point.
202	XIV. Roundtable. Updates from Conservation Commission members.
203 204	Chair Trueblood said he won't be in attendance at the September meeting and asked Vice-Chair Slepian to chair the meeting, to which he agreed.
205	XV. Adjournment
206 207 208	With no further business, Mr. Ford MOVED to adjourn the meeting at 9:28 p.m.; SECONDED by Ms. Lightbody; APPROVED unanimously, 6-0, Motion carries.
209	
210 211 212 213	Respectfully submitted, Dwight Trueblood, Chair and Lucie Bryar, Minutes Taker Town of Durham Conservation Commission